From *Revue des études arméniennes* 25 (1994-1995), pp. 157-170. This material is presented solely for non-commercial educational/research purposes.

Internet Archive has other works relating to Armenian linguistics.

I. TRAVAUX ET MÉMOIRES

a—Linguistique et philologie

TWO NOTES ON ARMENIAN LINGUISTICS

by

ERIC P. HAMP
University of Chicago
5200 So. Greenwood Ave
Chicago IL 60615

USA

I. THE INDO-EUROPEAN RELATIONS OF ARMENIAN OYC

The Indo-European guttural in Armenian oyc 'cold' is ambiguous since in position after *u the gutturals were neutralised as apparent palatals in Armenian: aucanem, auc-i (aor.) 'annoint' (Old Irish imb 'butter', Welsh (y)m-enyn Breton $amanenn < *ng^w$ -: OPrussian $anktan^1 < *ong^w$ -to-m); $luc\ lcoy^2$ (Latin iugum, OLatin iouxmenta, Welsh iau^3 , Eng. yoke, Slavic igo, Skt. $yug\acute{a}m < *iug-\acute{o}-m$); $loys\ lusoy$ 'light' (Welsh llygad 'eye', llyge-dyn 'ray of light', Latin $l\bar{u}ce\bar{o}$, Lithuanian $la\bar{u}kas$ 'field', Slovene $l\acute{u}c$ (fem.) 'light' < *l(o)uk-). Therefore in principle Arm. oyc could reflect either $*(s/p/k^w)oug$ -, or *oug- $< *ong^w$ -, or $*ou\acute{g}$ - (cf. acem, = Skt. $\acute{a}j\bar{a}mi$, Gk. $\ddot{a}\gamma\omega$, Lat. agit, OIr. 3sg. ad-aig, Welsh 1sg. af, 3sg. a/eit).

The labio-velar is possible on the Latvian evidence of $a\tilde{u}kst$; although the diphthong $a\tilde{u}$ excludes any direct relation with a preceding Armenian nasal. The Lithuanian $a\tilde{u}sta$ 'gets cold' apparently excludes the likelihood of an IE labio-velar or yelar.

The Celtic evidence now becomes useful. There can be no doubt that IE $*g^wr$ gives Celtic *br; cf. Breton breo, breou, Welsh $breuan^4$ 'quern', and my analysis of IE $*g^wreH_auon^{-5}$. In old medial position the lenited

- ¹ See E.P. HAMP, "Old Prussian anctan", Baltistica 27, 1991, 43.
- ² Arm. *l* is the normal outcome for IE *i-.
- ³ Cf. K.H. JACKSON, A Historical Phonology of Breton (Dublin 1967) 238, n. 4.
- ⁴ See Jackson op. laud. 284 §390 (3).
- ⁵ "I.E. *gwreHauon-" Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft 33, 1975, 41-3.

REArm 25 (1994-1995) 7-8.

8 E.P. HAMP

product of *-br- may result in a spirant or in Breton become vocalised⁶, but in any case the labialisation remains. Besides, a preceding nasal should not be lost in Celtic. Thus Welsh *oer* 'cold' should not reflect *-br-, nor *ong*-. On the other hand, we must equate *oer* with OIr. *uar*, which, regarded as a lenition product, has given modern Scottish Gaelic *fuar* 'cold'; in turn, the latter must be related to OIr. *uacht*, Sc. Gaelic *fuachd* [fuəxg] 'cold (noun)'. The only way to relate these two kindred etyma is as *ouxt- or *oukt- and *ougro-; i.e. *oug-t- and *oug-ro-.

Now we have seen that Lithuanian seems to exclude *oug-. Therefore the IE base for the Armenian, Baltic, and Celtic is *(H)oug-. The initial laryngeal could be the first, third, or fourth.

II. INFERRED SEMANTICS AND MORPHOLOGY

1. get 'river'

The noun get -oy as an o-stem seems by its e vocalism to be best derived from an old s-stem; R. Schmitt⁷ is correct that this cannot be an old r/n stem. This in turn implies the former presence of an old verb related to the Skt. $un\acute{a}d$ -mi etc. If in this way we recover * $u\acute{e}d$ -os, originally 'a product of moistening, wetting, inundating', and an ancient neuter, we have one more indirect testimony to the verb reflected in Lithuanian $vandu\~o$ (and its Baltic congeners)⁸ 'water', in the fresh toμή formation in Slavic $voda^9$, and in German naβ (nasz), on which see "Nasz" in Northwestern European Language Evolution (Nowele, Odense) 3, 1984, 49-57.

2. goy 'is'

In this precious form we have an exact descendant of IE *uose, a morphological perfect, and an equivalent to Eng. was (past), etc. The fact that the English forms a natural suppletion to am/is and be and that the presential semantics of the Armenian becomes so prominent makes this an interesting case for evidence of a preterite-present rôle in IE for *uos. The vocalism of Old Irish foaid 'spends the night' supports this; on this IE base see Acta Linguistica Hafniensia 12, 1969, 151-69. This accounting of the o-grade vocalism seems more explanatory than an attribution to the class reflected in the Hittite hi-conjugation.

⁶ See Jackson 240 §334 (3), 242 §336 ff., 252 §349 (2), 263 §365 (2) and ff.

⁷ R. Schnitt, Grammatik des Klassisch-Armenischen ..., Innsbrück 1981, p. 75.

⁸ Where the intrusive nasal must have been transported from the nasal present of the verb.

⁹ The formation is new since it does not show Winter's lengthening.